IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

Linda Hendrix,)
Plaintiff,)
) CIVIL ACTION NO.
vs.)
) 1:19-cv-01773-TCB
The City of Atlanta, Georgia)
and Marian Woods, in her)
individual capacity & as)
Administrator of the Civil)
Service Board, City of)
Atlanta,)
)
Defendants.)

Deposition of JAMES MERRIWEATHER, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, pursuant to the stipulations contained herein, before Jo Tomoff Fischer, RMR, CCR No. B-924, at 55 Trinity Avenue, Suite 5000, Atlanta, Georgia, on March 5, 2020, commencing at the hour of 2:35 p.m.

Q&A REPORTING SERVICES, INC.
Certified Court Reporters

2221 Peachtree Road, NE, Suite D-517
Atlanta, GA 30309

404.233.3300 ** Admin@QAReporting.com

```
2
1
    APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2
           ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
3
              DEBRA E. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
              Schwartz Rollins, LLC
4
              945 East Paces Ferry Road, Suite 2270
              Atlanta, GA
                            30326
              404.844.4130
5
              des@gaemploymentlawyers.com
6
7
8
           ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:
9
              REGINALD C. MARTIN, ESQ.
              SHIRNELLE R. COUNCIL, ESQ.
10
              City of Atlanta, Department of Law
11
              55 Trinity Avenue, Suite 5000
              Atlanta, GA
                            30303
              404.546.4170
12
              404.546.4163
13
              remartin@atlantaga.gov
              srcouncil@atlantaga.gov
14
15
16
    ALSO PRESENT: LINDA HENDRIX
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			3	
1		INDEX TO EXAMINATION		
2				
3	By Ms. Schwartz		6	
4	By Mr. Martin.		54	
5	By Ms. Schwartz		61	
6	By Mr. Martin.		65	
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13		INDEX TO EXHIBITS		
14		INDEA TO EXHIBITS		
15	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
16	*2 Ame	nded Notice / City of Atlanta	a 46	
17		initions of Classified and classified Service	39	
18				
19		naround Document / L. Hendrix OA/HENDRIX00226)	28	
20	*5 Tur	naround Document / Blank	37	
21		loyee Acceptance of classified Position Form	21	
22			21	
23		cle Document Screenshot endrix0001, 0002)	43	
24		sonnel Transaction and rtification Form		
25		endrix0003)	51	

```
4
                  Employee Acceptance of
            10
 1
                    Unclassified Position /
 2
                   M. Brown
                                                              64
                    (COA/HENDRIX00456)
 3
 4
     *Marked in a previous deposition.
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

5 1 (THE FOLLOWING TRANSCRIPT CONTAINS QUOTED MATERIAL; SUCH MATERIAL IS REPRODUCED AS 2 READ OR SPOKEN.) 3 4 5 6 7 (IN THE FOLLOWING TRANSCRIPT, A DASH [--] 8 IS USED TO INDICATE AN UNINTENTIONAL OR PURPOSEFUL INTERRUPTION OF A SENTENCE; 9 AN ELLIPSIS [...] IS USED TO INDICATE HALTING SPEECH OR AN UNFINISHED 10 SENTENCE IN DIALOGUE, OR AN OMISSION OF WORD[S] WHEN READING WRITTEN 11 MATERIAL.) 12 13 14 15 16 (Thereupon, the court reporter disclosed that she was there on behalf of Q&A Reporting Services, In compliance with Article 10.B of the Rules 17 Inc. and Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting of the Judicial Council of Georgia and O.C.G.A. 18 15-14-37(a) and (b), the court reporter discloses that she was retained by Schwartz Rollins, LLC, 19 to take down the proceedings. Q&A Reporting Services, Inc., will charge the attorneys the 20 usual and customary rate for the transcript, and will be paid by the attorneys upon their 21 receipt of the transcript.) 22 23 24 25

	6
4	
1	MS. SCHWARTZ: We'll go with the same
2	stipulations from this morning's deposition.
3	MR. MARTIN: Agreed.
4	JAMES MERRIWEATHER,
5	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
6	testified as follows:
7	EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
9	Q. Mr. Merriweather, would you spell your last
10	name?
11	A. Sure. M-E-R-R-I-W-E-A-T-H-E-R.
12	Q. And what is your home address?
13	A. 3599 Creekwood Lane, Smyrna, Georgia, 30082.
14	Q. And how long have you lived there?
15	A. My daughter is 17 years.
16	Q. And what is your cell phone number?
17	A. 404-447-2832.
18	Q. And what is your current position with the
19	city?
20	A. I am the director of labor and employee
21	relations.
22	Q. How long have you been in that position?
23	A. I've been in that position since 2017 in
24	interim, 2018 permanent.
25	Q. What month of 2017, do you know?

			7
1	A.	I can't recall that.	
2	Q.	Who did you replace?	
3	A.	Prior to me was was a gentleman by the	
4	name of A	nthony Davis.	
5	Q.	And do you know the circumstances of his	
6	leaving?		
7	A.	I do.	
8	Q.	And what was that?	
9	A.	He was there was some discrepancy about	
10	his educa	tional background.	
11	Q.	Was the position vacant at all before you	
12	took it?		
13	A.	No.	
14	Q.	When you moved into that position in 2017,	
15	who was t	he director of human resources?	
16	Α.	The commissioner, you mean?	
17	Q.	Yes. I'm sorry.	
18	Α.	The commissioner was Sherri Dickerson.	
19	Q.	And do you know the time period she served	
20	in that r	ole?	
21	A.	Approximately four months. Four to five	
22	months.		
23	Q.	And why did she leave?	
24	A.	She retired from the city as far as I know.	
25	0.	And who came after her?	J

	8
1	A. Commissioner Woods.
2	Q. And do you know when Ms. Marian Woods took
3	over as the commissioner?
4	A. I'm not sure of the exact of the exact
5	date.
6	Q. Do you know what was her last day on the
7	payroll?
8	A. I'm not certain of what her last day on the
9	payroll was.
LO	Q. Do you know what was her last day at work?
L1	A. It was around June, June or July, of 20
L2	I'm not even sure of the year. 2019.
L3	Q. Immediately prior to becoming the director
L 4	of labor I just got your title wrong.
L5	A. Labor and employee relations, yes, ma'am,
L6	correct.
L7	Q. Labor and employee relations. What did you
L8	do prior to that?
L9	A. I was labor and employee relations manager
20	prior to that.
21	Q. And what responsibility did you have in that
22	old job?
23	A. Primarily to do investigations, consulting
24	with HR business partners, mediation.
25	O. And what type of investigations?

1	A. They were wide-ranging. Mostly they are
2	Title VII investigations.
3	Q. What is the overall responsibility of labor
4	and employee relations?
5	A. Our scope really is to resolve internal
6	complaints, we're appointed deescalation for
7	high-profile investigations, and we also have
8	responsibility for EEOC complaint resolution.
9	Q. What role, if any, do you have with regard
10	to the Civil Service Board?
11	A. My staff I have a person on my staff that
12	coordinates the Civil Service Board. So we act as the
13	administrative arm of the Civil Service Board to
14	assist them in setting up hearing dates and carrying
15	out their duties as a Civil Service Board. Ultimate
16	responsibility of that is under the commissioner of
17	HR.
18	Q. And when you say they "coordinate," other
19	than doing dates and maybe getting hearing folks
20	together
21	A. Yes, ma'am.
22	Q did they do anything substantively in
23	dealing directly with the issues before the Civil
24	Service Board?
25	A. No, ma'am.

	10
1	Q. How would you learn, or your office learn,
2	that there was going to be a hearing before the Civil
3	Service Board?
4	A. So the the process is that people come to
5	the HR commissioner's office, since we're an arm of
6	that as the as the labor and employee relations
7	office, they submit those Civil Service Board appeals
8	to our office.
9	Q. How do employees know that they're supposed
10	to submit an appeal to the civil service to your
11	office?
12	A. Per the process, when when an employee
13	who is a classified employee receives a notice of
14	final adverse action decision, with that they are
15	given the Civil Service Board appeal form and they're
16	told of their rights to file an appeal within a
17	certain period of time.
18	Q. And they're told where to go for filing
19	A. They're told
20	Q the appeal?
21	A where to go to file it, yes, ma'am.
22	Q. And was that process in effect in
23	December of 2017?
24	A. It was.
25	Q. And how about in the first half of 2018?

	11
1	A. It was.
2	Q. And since then?
3	A. It has been.
4	Q. And what personal involvement, to your
5	knowledge, does the deputy commissioner of human
6	resources have or the commissioner of human resources
7	have with regard to the Civil Service Board?
8	A. As far as the deputy commissioner, I don't
9	know what role as far as code. I don't think there's
10	any role for them. As far as the HR commissioner,
11	they are the ultimate responsibility. The Civil
12	Service Board is under their their purview.
13	Q. And what does that involve in having the
14	ultimate responsibility?
15	A. They sign off on the speaking of the
16	commissioner, the commissioner signs off on subpoenas
17	for the Civil Service Board. They're ultimately
18	responsible for decisions that are escalated with the
19	Civil Service Board.
20	Q. I'm sorry. They sign off on the decision of
21	whether to uphold or overrule the decision from the
22	A. What I what I said was they they sign
23	off on subpoenas for witnesses for the Civil Service
24	Board.
25	Q. Okay.

	12
1	A. And they have ultimate responsibility for
2	the Civil Service Board.
3	Q. So if something's not operating correctly
4	with the Civil Service Board, they're responsible?
5	A. The Civil Service Board is their
6	responsibility, yes.
7	Q. Well, I know there have been times where
8	they have suspended hearings before the Civil Service
9	Board for multiple different reasons. And would that
10	be something that would have to be handled by the
11	commissioner of HR?
12	A. Could you clarify what you what you mean
13	by that?
14	Q. I know at times there has been too much work
15	for the Civil Service Commission and not enough
16	hearing officers and they've had to suspend.
17	Do you know who would be the ultimate person
18	to make the decision that they could suspend?
19	A. I don't know who who ultimately made that
20	decision. I know that the human resources
21	commissioner was involved in a pause in the board that
22	happened most recently that I'm aware of.
23	Q. And when was the most recent time?
24	A. That was January 2016. It was paused
25	Q. It was what?

	13
1	A. It was paused in January of 2016.
2	Q. And do you have any idea how long it was
3	paused for?
4	A. It was paused until May of 2016 where it was
5	reinstated. And then in June was the first hearing
6	after that pause, June 2016.
7	Q. Who was the commissioner when it was
8	reinstated?
9	A. That was Commissioner Yancy. Yvonne Yancy.
10	Q. And are you familiar with when Ms. Yancy
11	left the commissioner's office?
12	A. I can't recall the exact date, no, ma'am.
13	Q. Did she leave with the change in the
14	administration, which would have been January 2018?
15	A. It probably was it probably was around
16	that time, yes, ma'am.
17	Q. Do you know why she left?
18	A. No, ma'am.
19	Q. Do you know whether there's any litigation
20	with Ms. Yancy?
21	A. I'm not aware, no, ma'am.
22	Q. When did you first start working for the
23	city?
24	A. January 7th, 2010.
25	Q. And who do you report directly to?

	14
1	A. I report directly to Commissioner Norman.
2	Q. You seemed to hesitate for a second. Is
3	there some functions you report to someone else?
4	A. No. I hesitate because we've had
5	Q. So many?
6	A a lot of ebb and flow, yes, ma'am.
7	Q. You had to think about who was in that job
8	right now, right? But at all times you report to the
9	commissioner
10	A. Commissioner, yes.
11	Q of human resources?
12	A. Of human resources.
13	Q. Now
14	A. There have been times where I've had a
15	dotted line to a a deputy commissioner, but at this
16	time it's directly to Commissioner Norman.
17	Q. Currently there's a deputy commissioner
18	position vacant. Do you know how long it's been
19	vacant?
20	A. I'm not sure.
21	Q. Do you know what responsibility that
22	position handles within human resources?
23	A. Normally there're departments that
24	normally there are departments that or department
25	heads as far as within HR, HR directors, that report

15 to that -- to various deputy commissioners. And they 1 also take on special projects at the request of the 2 commissioner. 3 There's been some talk about HR partners. 4 0. 5 Can you explain what that is? So at one time the -- the HR directors were 6 Α. 7 housed and operated out of the different departments. 8 Now they were -- under Commissioner Yancy they were 9 consolidated, but they still serve under the 10 department. 11 So, for example, if you have -- there's an HR director that's assigned to work with watershed, 12 13 department of watershed, so we would consider that person an HR business partner. 14 15 And in 2017, did that individual work out at 0. 16 watershed? They're housed different places. 17 Α. Yeah, I 18 believe in 2017 they still would have been housed at a site with their business part -- with -- with the 19 20 department. And what about with regard to the airport? 21 0. 22 Who was the commissioner of HR for the airport in 23 2017? 24 Probably was Jim -- I -- I don't recall Α. 25 exactly, but I believe it was Jim Beam.

	16
1	Q. Did you ever work directly with Mr. Beam?
2	A. Yes, ma'am.
3	Q. And in what capacity?
4	A. Mr. Beam had several positions and I worked
5	with him in several positions. He was the deputy
6	commissioner of HR at one point. He became the HR
7	director out at aviation. So I worked with him in
8	both capacities in my role.
9	Q. Do you know when he left?
10	A. I don't recall when he left.
11	Q. Do you know why he left?
12	A. No, I don't know why he left.
13	Q. Do you know where he's working now, if
14	A. I do not.
15	Q you know?
16	A. Huh-uh (negative).
17	Q. What is your understanding of how the HR
18	directors and their staff interact with human
19	resources for the city?
20	A. Could you explain what you mean by that
21	question? I mean, how
22	Q. What functions does HR at the airport
23	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
24	Q when they were at the airport because
25	your is it your testimony they're no longer at the

	17
1	airport?
2	A. No. They're still at the airport.
3	Q. So the HR employees working at the airport,
4	what roles did they have versus HR home office?
5	A. So every HR business partner that works
6	within the department, including aviation, handles the
7	HR functions for that particular department. So
8	there's usually somebody that's assigned to recruit
9	for the for the airport. There's someone assigned
10	to handle other labor relations that we don't that
11	my office doesn't handle hiring, dismissals,
12	disciplinary actions, training. So for each
13	department it's the same. And aviation wouldn't be
14	different. That HR team would handle all the HR
15	functions for that particular department.
16	Q. And with regard to dismissals
17	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
18	Q what's the role of the HR partner?
19	A. It's their responsibility to follow the
20	disciplinary process and for classified employees to
21	issue disciplinary action as as appropriate.
22	Q. Then is one of the policies the progressive
23	discipline policy?
24	A. It is.
25	Q. And they're supposed to follow that?

	10
1	A. They are.
2	Q. And is there a formal document when you are
3	going to write an employee up that's considered to be
4	a written warning?
5	A. That written warning is it it varies
6	across the city, what the format it takes. But,
7	yes, they there is a form that they would use at
8	the airport, yes.
9	Q. And where would someone find that form?
10	A. Who would meaning who?
11	Q. If I were trying to get ahold of a copy of
12	that form, what department would I go to or who would
13	I talk to?
14	A. Well, the form is used by HR so you would go
15	to HR to get that particular form.
16	Q. So are you aware of any disciplinary action
17	form that exists for the city generally or for the
18	department of aviation generally where you can tell us
19	the name of the form?
20	A. The forms that as far as discipline that
21	I can tell you about would be when it becomes to
22	adverse action.
23	Q. And what kind of form is that?
24	A. So there would be a notice of proposed
25	adverse action. That's a standard form. There would

19 be a notice of final adverse action. And then there 1 would be a Civil Service Board appeal form. 2 3 0. Who prepares the notice of proposed adverse 4 action? The HR business partner would prepare that 5 Α. notice of final ad -- adverse action with -- in 6 7 conjunction with the supervisor or manager. 8 Q. Talking strictly about the department of 9 aviation --Uh-huh (affirmative). 10 Α. 11 -- and turning your attention to December of Q. 2017, who would have been in the position to handle 12 13 creating notices of proposed adverse actions? That I don't know for that particular date 14 Α. 15 and group. Would it have been one person or more than 16 0. 17 one person? 18 It could have been more than one person. Α. How does an individual HR partner know to 19 0. prepare a notice of proposed adverse action? 20 So the notice of proposed adverse action 21 Α. would really -- it's a responsibility of the super --22 23 individual supervisor or manager. The HR business 24 partner would assist that supervisor or manager in 25 preparing that form.

20

And how do individual managers know that 1 Q. they're required to fill out this notice of proposed 2 adverse action? 3 That's part of the -- the municipal code. 4 Α. 5 So the process for that is outlined in the municipal code. 6 7 Do you happen to know what section? Q. So it would be section 114 and it would 8 Α. 9 be -- they would have to have a cause for action, which is 528, so 114-528. They would also follow the 10 procedure for adverse action, which I believe is 527. 11 And whose responsibility was it to prepare 12 Q. the notice of final adverse action? 13 In this case, I... 14 Α. 15 In the department of aviation, just 0. 16 generally. In general, the -- again, the HR BP would 17 Α. 18 assist the supervisor or manager in preparing that 19 notice of final adverse action. It would then be signed off on by the -- by that supervisor and by the 20 department head in -- in -- in aviation's case, it 21 22 would be the general manager. 23 Are there any circumstances you're aware of Q. 24 that a classified employee does not have to be given a 25 notice of final proposed adverse action?

	21
1	A. None that I'm aware of.
2	Q. Is there any circumstances in which a
3	classified employee does not have to be told of their
4	appeal rights to the Civil Service Commission?
5	A. None that I'm aware of, no, ma'am. Oh, in
6	the previous question, it you you asked me about
7	the code section. There's another there's two
8	other portions of that section 114. 529 and 530 also
9	discuss imposing those actions.
10	Q. Showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7. Can
11	you identify that?
12	A. It's an employee acceptance of unclass of
13	an unclassified position.
14	Q. And for whom is that form used at the
15	City of Atlanta?
16	A. Normally it's used for employees that are
17	moving from a a classified position to an
18	unclassified position.
19	Q. But can it also be at the time they're hired
20	if they're into an unclassified position?
21	A. It can be at the time of hire as well, yes,
22	ma'am.
23	Q. So if a person is moving from a classified
24	position to unclassified, who generates Plaintiff's
25	Exhibit No. 7?

	22
1	A. If they're moving, normally the HR HR BP
2	would would generate this form.
3	Q. And when you say that, is it the top person
4	in the department or is somebody working under them?
5	A. Depending on why they're they're moving
6	from un classified to unclassified, it could be the
7	top person, it could be someone else underneath them.
8	Q. Are you familiar with how long either that
9	form or a form similar to it has been used at the
10	city?
11	A. As far as I know, it was it would
12	probably be after February 13th of '98.
13	Q. What happened on February 13th of '98?
14	A. I just know per the code that's the date
15	that the classified/unclassified service was was
16	set up and employees were designated into those
17	categories.
18	Q. And isn't it true that if someone was on
19	February 12th
20	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
21	Q of 1998 a classified employee
22	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
23	Q it would only switch to an unclassified
24	position potentially if they were to leave the
25	position they were in and take another position?

	23
1	A. That I'm not aware of.
2	Q. Who would be responsible for handling any
3	kind of change of classification as a result of this
4	February 13th, '98
5	A. It would be in HR, but I'm not sure who
6	would have that it wouldn't be labor relations'
7	responsibility.
8	Q. Now, you're aware that Linda Hendrix was a
9	classified employee when she was hired?
10	A. I had I am from my research for this,
11	yes.
12	Q. Let's talk about your research for this.
13	What have you done to prepare for today's deposition?
14	A. So I've reviewed the code, reviewed
15	policy standard policy and procedure, I've met with
16	the city attorneys.
17	Q. And when you say "the city attorneys,"
18	anyone other than who's in this room?
19	A. No, I don't I don't believe so, no.
20	Q. Have you had any meetings with Mr. Norman
21	about this case?
22	A. No.
23	Q. When Mr. Norman was in the city attorney's
24	office, did you deal with him in personnel matters?
25	A. Yes. There were times where we where we

	2	4
1	dealt with things.	
2	Q. And would it usually be with regard to	
3	EEO-type issues?	
4	A. It'd be it'd be in regards to	
5	integrity-line-type issues, compliant	
6	law-compliance-type issues.	
7	Q. So you said you reviewed the code and you	
8	talked to the city attorneys.	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. Did you look at any documents beside the	
11	code?	
12	A. We did I did look at the documents	
13	these documents you have in front of you, the	
14	forgive me. I don't I don't know what these are	
15	called, but	
16	Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, I will show you	
17	A. Okay.	
18	Q is that the document?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Which is the notice of deposition.	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. And then there was a first amended notice of	
23	deposition?	
24	A. Yes. Those, yes, ma'am.	
25	Q. Did you look at any documents this morning?	

	25
1	A. Besides the code, no. And these and
2	these depositions.
3	Q. Have you looked at Ms. Hendrix's personnel
4	file, either now or at any time since
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. When's the last time you would have reviewed
7	that?
8	A. Maybe two weeks ago.
9	Q. What were the circumstances of your
10	reviewing it?
11	A. I had pulled the file in in the past. So
12	a request was made to me to pull her file. So when I
13	met with the city attorneys, I had that as something
14	that I had pulled at the time. It was not the most
15	recent version of it, but it was what was pulled at
16	the time it was requested.
17	Q. Do you have a rough idea of when you first
18	pulled her file?
19	A. It must have been a more than a year ago.
20	Q. Would it have been after the lawsuit was
21	filed?
22	A. That I'm not aware.
23	Q. So when did you first become aware of the
24	lawsuit?
25	A. When I was contacted for this deposition.

	26
1	Q. So would it have been in 2020?
2	A. Yes, ma'am, 2020. Yes.
3	Q. And so in 2019, you had no role in dealing
4	with this lawsuit?
5	A. No, ma'am.
6	Q. So the first time you were contacted to pull
7	her file would have been in 2020 as well?
8	A. No. It was there was I went back
9	to to see what I had on file. And what I had was
10	her personnel file and a request that I had made to
11	someone to to pull the file that up in our
12	business office. I'm not even sure why. I had no
13	other notes as to why.
14	Q. Okay. When you pulled that file
15	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
16	Q did you see that there were several
17	documents that showed that Ms. Hendrix was a
18	classified employee?
19	A. Yeah, there were some documents that showed
20	that she had been classified employee.
21	Q. And did you notice that there were no forms
22	in which she waived her rights by moving into a
23	classified position, such as document 7?
24	MR. MARTIN: Objection to form.
25	I mean, you may answer.

	27
-	
1	THE WITNESS: I I did not see this
2	this a form like this or this form in that
3	file.
4	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
5	Q. Have you looked at the personnel file for
6	Ms. Brown, Marcia Brown?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did you see anything in the personnel file
9	that you pulled regarding Ms. Hendrix that showed that
10	she was an unclassified employee?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And what was that?
13	A. It was a TAD that showed I believe it was
14	a termination TAD. The the TAD showed her as an
15	unclassified employee.
16	Q. And are you referring to Plaintiff's
17	Exhibit No. 4?
18	A. I believe this is it, yes, ma'am.
19	Q. And what's the date on that?
20	A. The date is March 21st, 2018, effective
21	date.
22	Q. Give me one second. Let's go to received
23	June 4th, 2008, which is sort of a big block. Go down
24	that
25	MS. COUNCIL: Can you restate, just for

```
28
1
         purposes --
                            Oh, right where?
2
               MR. MARTIN:
               MS. COUNCIL: -- of clarification,
3
         Ms. Schwartz, it's 2018? We just want to
4
5
         make sure the date's properly reflected on
         the record.
6
7
               MS. SCHWARTZ:
                               This is --
8
               MS. COUNCIL:
                              This is June 4th, 2018?
9
               MS. SCHWARTZ:
                              Yes.
                              You said 2008.
10
               MS. COUNCIL:
11
               MS. SCHWARTZ:
                              I'm sorry.
12
               MS. COUNCIL:
                             Just want to make sure the
         record is clear.
13
    BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
14
15
               2018.
                     And it's got the number 0226 as a
         0.
16
    Bates stamp number.
17
               Can you see whose signature is in the
    received area?
18
19
               I can't tell whose signature that is, no,
         Α.
20
    ma'am.
               Do you know whose signature is at the bottom
21
22
    left dated 6-4 or 6-1, I'm not sure, and it looks like
    a J something?
23
24
               I'm not familiar with that -- with that
         Α.
    signature.
25
                 I was told whose it might be, but I'm --
```

	29
1	I'm not familiar with whose whose it is.
2	Q. Who told you whose it might be?
3	A. Ms. Kirkland, Renita Kirkland.
4	Q. And who did she say it might be?
5	A. Jim Beam.
6	Q. And on the bottom right, it says TAD process
7	date
8	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
9	Q 6-5-18. And can you tell whose initials
10	those are?
11	A. I can't.
12	Q. When did you first talk to anyone about this
13	document?
14	A. In our meetings with with the the city
15	attorney.
16	Q. And who was in the meetings with the city
17	attorney besides yourself?
18	A. The city attorneys present in the room right
19	now and Renita Kirkland, Ms. Brown, and Alisha
20	Alisha Williams.
21	Q. Ms. Williams being an employee of the city
22	attorney's office?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And were you shown any documents during that
25	meeting?

	30
1	A. The documents we were shown were the
2	personnel file and because I brought the personnel
3	file, and then that personnel file, and then these
4	documents that you've presented to me. These two,
5	notice of deposition.
6	Q. Which is Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2, just
7	for the record.
8	When you brought over the personnel file, it
9	did not have any disciplinary action forms in it, did
10	it?
11	MS. COUNCIL: Objection to the form of
12	your question.
13	THE WITNESS: I can't I can't recall
14	any disciplinary documents in it.
15	(Mr. Martin exited the room.)
16	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
17	Q. Did you see any notices that were given to
18	employees that we've talked about, notice of proposed
19	adverse action or final adverse action?
20	A. I don't recall those being as part of that
21	file.
22	Q. Are there any other documents that you
23	looked at directly with the city attorneys and these
24	other four individuals?
25	A. This document was a part of that file, this

	31
1	TAD.
2	Q. What is your understanding of why a TAD
3	document for Ms. Hendrix who was terminated on
4	December 27th of 2017 would be generated on 6-5 of '18
5	or thereabouts?
6	MS. COUNCIL: I'll object to the form of
7	that question. I think it's also misstating
8	the facts of the case. According to the
9	records, she was not terminated in December
LO	2017.
L1	MS. SCHWARTZ: We disagree with you on
L2	that so
L3	MS. COUNCIL: Well, I think it's a
L 4	mischaracterization of the facts to the
L5	witness.
L6	MS. SCHWARTZ: I will rephrase the
L7	question.
L8	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
L9	Q. You are aware that the last day that
20	Ms. Hendrix worked was December 27th of 2017?
21	A. That part I'm not aware of. I'm not aware
22	of the details of that part of her termination.
23	Q. What reason would there be for any employee
24	to not get a TAD document entered into their file for
25	five months after their last day worked?

	32
1	A. That wouldn't be my area of expertise on
2	TADs.
3	Q. So you have no idea why this particular
4	document was created?
5	A. I couldn't answer that. Only that that
6	it was a dismissal.
7	Q. And you don't know who created the document?
8	A. No, ma'am.
9	Q. And what did Ms. Kirkland say about who she
LO	thought created the document?
L1	MS. COUNCIL: Asked and answered.
L2	But you may answer.
L3	I think he's already answered that.
L 4	THE WITNESS: I believe Jim Beam. She
L5	said Jim Beam was this signature here, that
L6	she believed that. Who created the document,
L7	I don't recall that she had a specific answer
L8	of who who did it. She had possibilities
L9	of people that could have created it.
20	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
21	Q. Who were the other possibilities?
22	A. The executive assistant to the to the HR
23	director, Mr. Beam.
24	Q. Was that Ms. Brown?
25	A. Ms. Cooper I think her name is. Chyna

	33
1	Q. Chyna Cooper?
2	A Cooper.
3	Q. Did she have any other possible suggestions?
4	A. She named another person, but I can't recall
5	who that was.
6	Q. And you have no knowledge as to whether the
7	information contained on this document is accurate, or
8	not, do you?
9	A. No, ma'am. That's not my area.
LO	Q. Have you done anything to investigate how
L1	this document came to be in existence?
L2	A. Huh-uh (negative). I've done no
L3	investigation on this particular matter.
L 4	Q. Are there any discussions that you've had
L5	with Ms. Kirkland where the city attorney was not
L6	present?
L7	A. Sure. We waited in the lobby together.
L8	Q. No. I mean, about this particular
L9	A. Oh, no, ma'am.
20	Q lawsuit. What about Ms. Brown, any
21	conversations with her separately?
22	A. No, ma'am.
23	Q. How long was your meeting with the city
24	attorneys and Ms. Kirkland and Ms. Brown?
25	A. We met on a couple of different occasions.

34 They were probably a couple of hours each. 1 And other than Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, did 2 Q. you see a single document that showed that Ms. Hendrix 3 was unclassified? 4 I can't recall seeing any other documents 5 besides -- besides this one that -- that had her as 6 7 class -- unclassified. Assuming that at the time she was sent home 8 0. 9 that Ms. Hendrix was a classified employee, should she have received a notice of adverse action? 10 MS. COUNCIL: Could you clarify that 11 12 question a little bit more? When you say 13 "sent home," give us a specific time. BY MS. SCHWARTZ: 14 15 Suspended on December the 27th of 2017. 0. If she was a classified employee, she 16 would -- we would follow the procedure for a 17 18 classified employee. She would have gotten a notice of proposed adverse action. 19 And if she were to still be terminated, she 20 would have gotten a final notice? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 And then if the decision were made to Q. 24 terminate her, would she have been provided 25 information about filing an appeal?

	35
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Have you had any discussions with anybody,
3	other than counsel, as to whether or not Ms. Hendrix
4	attempted to file appeals with the Civil Service
5	Commission?
6	A. Yes. I spoke with my CSB coordinator to see
7	if there was an appeal that was filed.
8	Q. And what was the name of your CSB
9	coordinator?
10	A. Kandice Harmon.
11	Q. Harmon?
12	A. Harmon, H-A-R-M-O-N. Kandice with a K,
13	K-A-N-D-I-C-E.
14	Q. And what specifically is her job title?
15	A. She her job title is employee relations
16	specialist. She coordinates the the CSB hearings
17	and does the scheduling for those. And and other
18	duties. But as it relates to this, those are her
19	duties. She's a coordinator for the CSB.
20	Q. And what did she tell you?
21	A. No, there was no there was no appeal that
22	was that was submitted.
23	Q. And where is she located physically?
24	A. Suite 2170 at City Hall.
25	Q. She's in your

		36
1	A. In my suite, yes, ma'am.	
2	Q. Did you talk to anybody else about any	
3	appeals that Ms. Hendrix may have submitted?	
4	A. No, ma'am.	
5	Q. Have you had any discussions with anyone	
6	other than counsel about whether or not Ms. Hendrix	
7	was a classified or unclassified employee?	
8	A. No, ma'am.	
9	Q. Have you done any independent research to	
10	determine if she was a classified or unclassified	
11	employee?	
12	A. No, ma'am.	
13	(Mr. Martin entered the room.)	
14	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:	
15	Q. Other than the personnel file that you	
16	brought with you to the meeting with counsel	
17	A. Yes, ma'am.	
18	Q have you looked for any other documents	
19	with regard to Ms. Hendrix?	
20	A. So Ms. Harmon, we checked our hard copy	
21	files, electronic submissions, just to and our	
22	spreadsheets on submissions just to make sure there	
23	was no nothing that we had missed. And we	
24	there's nothing we found.	
25	Q. What were you looking for?	

	37
1	A. Just looking for the CSB, Civil Service
2	Board, appeal form.
3	Q. The appeal form. Did you check the
4	commissioner's email?
5	A. I wouldn't have access to that, no, ma'am.
6	Q. So that was nobody checked that, to your
7	knowledge?
8	A. Yeah, I I am not aware.
9	Q. Were there some employees that were
10	classified employees before February 13th of 1998 who
11	then changed to become unclassified employees at some
12	later date?
13	A. There were.
14	Q. And were those individuals asked to fill out
15	a form similar to Plaintiff's Exhibit 7?
16	A. That is our general practice. It's not
17	it's not necessarily a policy, but that has been the
18	practice, yes, ma'am.
19	Q. How can you tell if an employee has moved
20	into a vacant position?
21	A. That would be outside of my area of
22	expertise.
23	Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, which
24	is called the turnaround document.
25	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).

	38
1	Q. Can you tell me how long either that
2	document or something similar to it has been used?
3	A. Again, I'm not I'm not it's been here
4	as long as I've been here, since 2010.
5	Q. That's
6	A. Prior to that, I don't I don't know.
7	Q. And what is your understanding of the
8	purpose of such a document?
9	A. My understanding is that it's used to make
10	HR changes in title, position, salary, et cetera.
11	Q. Are you aware of any employees who moved
12	from classified positions to unclassified positions
13	and retained some rights to hearings?
14	A. I'm not aware of any employees specifically.
15	I have heard of it, yes.
16	Q. Are you familiar with the policy or not
17	particularly?
18	A. Yes, I'm familiar with the policy.
19	Q. And what is the policy?
20	A. There's a policy that some classified
21	employees are moved to unclassified positions, but
22	they retain their civil service rights.
23	Q. And what determines if they will or will not
24	retain their civil service rights?
25	A. That I don't know. Don't know what the

39 that criteria is. 1 I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3. 2 Q. Uh-huh (affirmative). 3 Α. If you could... Towards the bottom of the 4 0. 5 page, there is the reference to all positions in classified service at pay grade 19 and above that 6 7 become vacant on or after February 13th, 1998, will be 8 transferred to unclassified. 9 What is your understanding of a position becoming vacant? 10 11 Again, not my area of expertise, but my Α. understanding of it is that -- that if a position 12 is -- that if a position was going to be filled after 13 that date at that pay grade, 19 and above, that that 14 15 position would be termed an unclassified position. So it wouldn't necessarily impact the person 16 0. in the position at the time unless it was going to be 17 18 opened up as a new position, correct? That I don't know. That's -- that I don't 19 Α. 20 know. Who would be able to answer that question? 21 Q. 22 It would review -- it would depend on a Α. 23 review of the ordinance attached with this, but the 24 HR BP may be able to answer that particular question 25 or the -- the deputy commissioner.

	40
1	Q. The deputy commissioner?
2	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
3	Q. What about the commissioner?
4	A. Or the commissioner.
5	Q. If an employee is terminated and that
6	employee is not in a classified position
7	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
8	Q what rights, if any, do they have with
9	regard to either notice or a hearing?
10	A. If they are an unclassified employee,
11	they're considered in in Georgia at will. And they
12	can be separated either the employee or the
13	employer can separate at will, with with or without
14	cause.
15	Q. And is the policy of the City of Atlanta to
16	separate an employee without telling them why they're
17	being separated?
18	A. The policy simply says they're at will. And
19	if they each party, each side, has the right to
20	separate employment.
21	Q. That's what the written policy says. I'm
22	asking about the actual policy. Is it the policy
23	A. The practice or do you mean
24	Q. Yes, practice, if you will. Is it the
25	practice to tell the employee why they are being

	41
1	terminated?
2	A. It's the practice to have progressive
3	discipline, it's the practice to have performance
4	evaluations. But as far as separation, it's our
5	practice to it's at will for unclassified
6	employees.
7	Q. Now, doesn't the State of Georgia require
8	that you give them a separation notice?
9	MS. COUNCIL: Objection to the form of
10	the question.
11	THE WITNESS: They do require that.
12	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
13	Q. And does the city fill in a reason for
14	termination?
15	A. It does.
16	Q. And do they fill in a date for termination?
17	A. They do. The reason doesn't have to be
18	sometimes the reason is termination.
19	Q. Do you have any knowledge about who notified
20	Ms. Hendrix that she was terminated?
21	A. I don't.
22	Q. If an employee is unclassified but had
23	reserved rights to a hearing, what kind of information
24	would they be provided with at the time of
25	termination?

42 1 Α. Could you clarify what you mean by reserve rights to a hearing? 2 We talked earlier about there were 3 0. some employees that were moved into an unclassified 4 5 position but retained rights to a Civil Service Board hearing. 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 How would they be notified that they had 0. 9 those rights to a hearing? If they had retained rights, then they would 10 be considered the same way -- or have the same rights 11 as a classified employee. So they would get -- go 12 13 through the same process. They'd get a -- a notice of proposed adverse action, they'd have a -- a show-cause 14 15 hearing where they can respond, they'd get a notice of final adverse action with an appeal form and told of 16 their rights to file a Civil Service Board appeal. 17 18 Are there any circumstances under which 0. you're aware that the policy permit a classified 19 employee to be terminated without that process of the 20 notice and the right to a hearing --21 22 For classified employees, no. Α. 23 For classified employee. Q. 24 Not that I'm aware of, no, ma'am. A. 25 Q. What if at the time that an employee is

	43
1	terminated the supervisor isn't sure if he or she, the
2	subordinate, is classified or not? What are they
3	supposed to do?
4	A. Normally they would check the system of
5	record at that time. At the time I'm not sure whether
6	it was ATL Cloud or Oracle. Probably Oracle. You
7	would check the Oracle system to see if the what
8	the status of the employee was. If you were uncertain
9	about the system, you could check the personnel file
10	and the personnel file should have that that status
11	recorded there as well.
12	Q. So you're not sure when Oracle was used or
13	ceased to be used?
14	A. I'm not a hundred percent certain, no,
15	ma'am.
16	Q. I'm showing you what's previously been
17	marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 8.
18	A. Okay.
19	Q. So if you would look
20	A. This is 3, 5, 7, 4. 3, 4, 5, and 7.
21	Q. No, that's not it.
22	MS. SCHWARTZ: Do you have Plaintiff's
23	Exhibit 8? That's that one. Do you mind
24	sharing that with the witness for now and
25	we'll look for it.

	44
1	MR. MARTIN: No problem.
2	
	MS. SCHWARTZ: Thank you.
3	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
4	Q. Looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 8, which is
5	two pages, does this appear to be a screenshot from
6	Oracle or one of the other programs that you
7	referenced?
8	A. It looks like a screenshot from Oracle. It
9	could be.
10	Q. And how would such a document get generated?
11	A. In the old Oracle system, it's not that
12	advanced. You would do a you would do a a print
13	from there's a print screen from this or a a
14	pop-up print to print out this page.
15	Q. If you turn to the second page
16	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
17	Q does that appear to be just an enlarged
18	version of the previous page?
19	A. It appears to be.
20	Q. Have you ever had occasion to pull up on
21	your screen the Oracle program while also having your
22	Gmail open?
23	A. I haven't, no, but no, ma'am.
24	Q. Do you have any knowledge as to whether or
25	not the information contained in this document is

```
45
1
    correct?
2
         A.
               No, ma'am.
3
         0.
               Have you seen this before?
               Have I read what you're -- what you're --
4
         Α.
5
    have here, no, ma'am.
               So you didn't see this earlier today?
6
         0.
7
               I did see this at a distance, but I
         Α.
    didn't -- I didn't read it.
8
9
               Did you discuss it with the deputy
         Q.
    commissioner?
10
11
                            Objection to the form.
               MR. MARTIN:
12
               THE WITNESS:
                             No, ma'am, I didn't.
         ma'am, I didn't discuss it with the deputy
13
          commissioner.
14
15
    BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
               What is the purpose of the City of Atlanta's
16
          0.
17
    performance appraisal system?
18
         Α.
               It's -- it's to -- it's to evaluate
    performance.
                   It's -- it's used -- at times it's been
19
    used to validate whether someone's gonna get a -- a
20
                    It's also to point out if there's poor
21
    raise or not.
22
    performance and look at opportunities to improve that
23
    performance.
24
               Have you had an opportunity to look over
          0.
25
    Ms. Hendrix's performance reviews?
```

	46
1	A. I haven't, no, ma'am. I'll clarify that I
2	have seen them, but I have not reviewed them.
3	Q. I understand. Thank you. If you would look
4	at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2.
5	A. Yes, ma'am.
6	Q. Yes. And look at number 14.
7	A. Yes, ma'am.
8	Q. What's the city's policy and procedure in
9	the time frame of December 2017 of the role that a
10	supervisor or department head plays in providing a
11	terminated employee with the
12	MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry. Which number
13	are you on again?
14	MS. COUNCIL: Which number?
15	THE WITNESS: 14.
16	MS. SCHWARTZ: 14.
17	Ms. COUNCIL: Okay.
18	MR. MARTIN: Thank you.
19	THE WITNESS: So for for classified
20	employees?
21	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
22	Q. You can tell me that first.
23	A. Okay. So for for classified employees,
24	the process is that a supervisor with the with the
25	help of HR would come up with a notice of proposed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

James Merriweather - March 5, 2020

47 adverse action that would list the cause for action, from 114-528, and they complete that form and they'd have a notice of proposed -- proposed adverse action meeting. In that meeting, the employee, classified employee, could bring a representative with them. They would -- the supervisor would present that particular notice of proposed adverse action. With that action it is signed off on by the department head not -- noting that that notice of proposed action is going forward. After that notice of proposed -- proposed adverse action--or NPAA--is presented to the employee and they're given that formal notice, then they have five days from that to actually respond to that notice of proposed adverse action. Five business days from that they -- they respond. Again, they can bring a representative, the supervisor's there. And the idea is that the department head or someone that can make a decision that they designate is present in that next meeting to see -- to have the -- the employee present their --

Once that's done, three days from that, then there is a notice of proposed adverse action meeting

their case. So it's a show-cause hearing.

	48
1	where either the proposed action is modified,
2	dismissed altogether, or confirmed.
3	At that meeting, that that final notice
4	of final adverse action meeting, they're also given
5	their rights to appeal that that decision through a
6	Civil Service Board appeal form. And they're told
7	where to take that and how to appeal it.
8	That form has to be submitted the later of
9	five days from the effective date of the action or
10	five days from that notice of final adverse action
11	meeting, whichever is later.
12	Q. Was this practice followed in relation to
13	plaintiff?
14	A. I don't believe that that it was.
15	Q. And do you know why not?
16	A. My understanding is that she was a
17	unclassified employee. That being the case, she would
18	be at will and this process would not apply to her.
19	Q. And is that understanding obtained from
20	anyplace other than counsel?
21	MS. COUNCIL: Objection to the form of
22	the question.
23	THE WITNESS: From counsel is where I
24	would would know this whole
25	situation from, yes, ma'am.

49 1 BY MS. SCHWARTZ: But is there anything -- have you talked to 2 Q. anyone else who has told you that she was an 3 unclassified employee? 4 5 In our meetings that we had, HR -- HR business partner confirmed that and also showed me the 6 7 exhibit that this -- the exhibit with the dismissal 8 with the unclassified status on the dismissal form, 9 the TAD. And the HR partner you're talking about, who 10 0. 11 told you that? Renita Kirkland and the manager Ms. Brown 12 Α. and in the document from the file. 13 What practice is in place for ensuring that 14 0. 15 the procedure is followed with a classified employee? 16 Α. Can you clarify what you -- what you mean by 17 that? The procedure of giving notice 18 0. pre-termination, post-termination, and information 19 about the right to a hearing. 20 So for a classified employee, they can't go 21 Α. 22 forward with the process without the NPAA. So no 23 action can be taken until the process is followed. No 24 adverse action. 25 Q. Well, can't the supervisor just put it

	50
1	through?
2	A. He can. He had the supervisor can submit
3	a notice of proposed adverse action. They have to
4	it has to go through that process where they get to
5	respond. There's a notice of final adverse actions.
6	There's stipulations in the code that say that the
7	process has to be followed a certain way and that
8	there is a timeline between when the between the
9	notice of proposed adverse action and the actual
10	effective date of the adverse action.
11	If anything is in violation of that, then
12	that would come out in the Civil Service Board appeal.
13	Q. But what if they didn't ever get notified
14	that they had the Civil Service Board to appeal to?
15	A. No action can be taken against somebody who
16	is who's a classified employee without that.
17	Q. Have you had any involvement in
18	investigating behavior of Mr. Beam while he was
19	working for the City of Atlanta?
20	A. No.
21	Q. I don't mean investigation may have happened
22	while he was there, but
23	A. Where I was involved in
24	Q related to his employment with the city.
25	A. No, ma'am.

	51
1	Q. Are you familiar with any legal action
2	that's going on with regard to that?
3	A. No, ma'am.
4	(Marked for identification purposes,
5	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9.)
6	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
7	Q. Can you identify this document?
8	A. Am I familiar with this document? I don't
9	know what it looks like a TAD from a previous time
10	period, but I'm not familiar with it, no, ma'am.
11	Q. But this shows that Ms. Hendrix was a
12	classified employee at least as of 4-7-98; is that
13	correct?
14	A. From what I see here, it does show that,
15	yes, ma'am.
16	Q. And it shows that she was made a permanent
17	employee on this date without changing her position,
18	correct?
19	A. That's what it seems to say, yes, ma'am.
20	Q. And do you have any independent knowledge of
21	any new position that she moved into after this date,
22	4-7 of '98?
23	A. I've been told that she moved into another
24	position subsequent to this date, moved her from
25	classified to unclassified.

	52
1	Q. Who told you that?
2	A. Ms. Kirkland and Ms. Brown.
3	Q. And what position do they say she moved
4	into?
5	A. I don't recall. I don't recall that.
6	Q. And did you ask them to show you anything
7	that showed that, any documentation?
8	A. That was part of what they were preparing
9	for for this particular deposition. That was not in
10	my lane of questioning that was presented.
11	Q. Did they tell you what year, approximately,
12	it was that she moved into a different position?
13	A. I'm not certain of the year, no, ma'am.
14	Q. Did they indicate to you if there were any
15	other individuals who were familiar with their
16	allegation that she was moved into a different
17	position that was unclassified?
18	A. I think I believe they mentioned Jim Beam
19	as having knowledge of that.
20	Q. Anyone else?
21	A. Not that I can recall, no, ma'am.
22	Q. Have you or anyone, to your knowledge,
23	checked through Mr. Beam's files and email server for
24	any documentation related to Ms. Hendrix?
25	A. I'm not aware if that's been done, no,

		53
1	ma'am.	
2	Q. Who's ultimately responsible for providing	
3	any investigation into the facts of this lawsuit?	
4	MS. COUNCIL: Objection to the form of	
5	the question.	
6	MR. MARTIN: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: That would be outside	
8	my my purview.	
9	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:	
10	Q. You haven't had any discussions with the	
11	director of HR about that?	
12	A. No, ma'am.	
13	MS. SCHWARTZ: I have no further	
14	questions right now.	
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	
16	MS. SCHWARTZ: Which one of you is going	
17	to question?	
18	MR. MARTIN: Right now	
19	MS. SCHWARTZ: It's only one so	
20	That's the rules of taking a deposition.	
21	MS. COUNCIL: I didn't say anything.	
22	Just calm	
23	MR. MARTIN: Calm down, ma'am.	
24	MS. SCHWARTZ: All right. I'm just	
25	saying.	

	54
1	MR. MARTIN: Calm down, ma'am.
2	EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. MARTIN:
4	Q. So, now, Mr. Merriweather
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q you were shown what was shown to be
7	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7.
8	Now, to your knowledge, was this document in
9	use during the time when Ms. Hendrix was employed with
10	the City of Atlanta?
11	A. Well, it wouldn't have been it wouldn't
12	have been Jeffrey Norman because I don't believe he
13	was the interim commissioner at at the time.
14	Q. So had you seen any documents to this nature
15	that had any other different commissioner's name on
16	there?
17	A. Yeah. I've seen similar documents, yes.
18	Q. Similar documents?
19	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
20	Q. So, to your knowledge, was there a document
21	similar to this used?
22	A. There was a document similar to that, yes,
23	sir.
24	Q. Now, in regards to that, was that based on
25	policy or practice that this document was used?

	55
1	A. It was based on practice.
2	Q. Practice. Now, was this a long-standing
3	practice or was this something that was relatively
4	used relatively within recent times?
5	A. Can you clarify what you mean by that?
6	Q. Is this a document that would have been used
7	back in 2010?
8	A. Not very often, no.
9	Q. Would this have been a document that would
10	have been used back in 2017?
11	A. It could have been, yes.
12	Q. Could have been?
13	A. Could have been.
14	Q. But it's a document that's being used now?
15	A. No. Because it's just it's a it's a
16	practice, not a policy.
17	Q. Okay. Give me one second.
18	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
19	Q. You had a chance to review Ms. Hendrix's
20	file, correct?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. Did you recall seeing any retention of
23	rights documents in Ms. Hendrix's file?
24	A. No, sir.
25	Q. And I may have asked this already, but

	56
1	there's nothing in the code that mandates this
2	document, am I correct?
3	A. No, sir.
4	Q. And this document P-7. When you reviewed
5	Ms. Hendrix's file, did you see anything in regards to
6	a Civil Service Board appeal?
7	A. No, sir.
8	Q. Did you see anything what about a
9	complaint?
10	A. No, sir.
11	Q. Did you see any documents where she
12	requested any kind of hearing with the Civil Service
13	Board?
14	A. No, sir, I didn't see anything like that.
15	Q. One second. Was the Civil Service Board
16	paused any time during or around December 2017?
17	A. No, sir.
18	Q. What about March 2018?
19	A. No, sir.
20	Q. What about at any time during 2018?
21	A. Not that I recall, no, sir.
22	Q. Now, in regards to the notice prepared for
23	adverse action, would that be prepared for an
24	unclassified employee?
25	A. No.

57

So that procedure that you outlined earlier 1 Q. in your testimony, does that only apply to classified 2 employees? 3 Only applies to classified employees. 4 Α. 5 0. So when you stated earlier that no adverse action can be done upon an employee, like a supervisor 6 7 can't just pass it through, I believe was your 8 statement, was that only in regards to classified 9 employees? Only in regard to classified employees. 10 Α. So in regards to an unclassified employee, 11 Q. 12 what is your understanding of that in regards to any 13 adverse action? They're at will and they can -- we can 14 Α. 15 separate at any time and they can separate. If an employee wanted a Civil Service Board 16 hearing, could they just come straight to your office 17 18 to request one? They -- they can make that request. 19 Α. They could make the request. 20 Now, what if they believe they're entitled 21 0. 22 to one, can they just come straight to your office and 23 request one? 24 They could, yes. Α. 25 Q. And then if they made a request, what would

58 1 happen then? If they made a request, the -- we would 2 Α. require them to -- if it was for adverse action, if 3 it's a Civil Service Board request, we would require 4 them to submit their notice of final adverse action 5 along with their Civil Service Board appeal form, and 6 7 we would check to see if they were a classified or 8 unclassified employee to see if they have that actual 9 right. And where would you check to find out if 10 0. they were classified or unclassified? 11 Primarily it would be the ATL -- ATL Cloud 12 Α. 13 at this time. Oracle in the past. If there's any kind of discrepancy, we would check their personnel 14 15 file. When you looked in Linda Hendrix's file, did 16 0. 17 you see anything in regards to that where there was 18 any request made? 19 Α. No. I want to show you what was Plaintiff's 8. 20 Q. Do you recognize Plaintiff's 8? 21 It's two 22 pages. 23 From what counsel showed me, yes. Α. 24 Now, with Plaintiff's 8, is it typical that Q. 25 you see this document on a Gmail format?

		59
1	А.	It's not.
2	Q.	Is it typical where you see it with some
3	kind of e	email information at the top?
4	A.	That's not familiar, no.
5	Q.	That's not a City of Atlanta email up top,
6	is it?	
7	A.	It is not, no.
8	Q.	Is that more of a personal email, you think?
9	A.	It looks like a personal email.
10	Q.	On the Oracle document here, do you see any
11	name on t	there? Take a look at that.
12	A.	I don't see a name.
13	Q.	Do you see anything that references that
14	that's Li	inda Hendrix?
15	А.	No, sir.
16	Q.	Now, on the position, it seems can you
17	read what	the position was?
18	А.	The position is data reporting analyst,
19	senior 4.	•
20	Q.	Do we have a data reporting analyst, senior
21	4, positi	on to your knowledge?
22	Α.	Not to my knowledge.
23	Q.	Now, let me show you Plaintiff's No. 4.
24	Α.	Uh-huh (affirmative).
25	Q.	And you've already identified Plaintiff's

	60
1	No. 4, am I correct?
2	A. Correct. Yes.
3	Q. And with that document, do you see the
4	person's name on that document?
5	A. I do.
6	Q. Does that document show what's listed as
7	the job title on that document?
8	A. Data reporting analyst, senior.
9	Q. Does it say senior 4?
LO	A. No, sir.
L1	Q. Now, can you say for certain that this is
L2	actually an Oracle document?
L3	A. I can't.
L4	Q. Can you say for certain that this was even
L5	generated from some Oracle function?
L6	A. I can't say for certain, no, sir.
L7	Q. So can you say for any reason why there may
L8	be some discrepancy between whatever this Plaintiff's
L9	No. 8 is versus Plaintiff's No. 4?
20	MS. SCHWARTZ: Object to the form. And
21	on top of it, there're two totally different
22	dates.
23	MS. COUNCIL: Can we not make speaking
24	objections, please?
25	BY MR. MARTIN:

	61
1	Q. Can you tell me the difference between the
2	two?
3	A. This is an actual TAD, Exhibit 4. Exhibit 8
4	looks like an Oracle printout, but it's I've never
5	seen it in this particular format and it doesn't have
6	a name that's visible that I can see.
7	Q. Okay.
8	MR. MARTIN: No further questions at
9	this time.
10	MS. SCHWARTZ: Just a few.
11	FURTHER EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
13	Q. Looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 8, which I
14	think he may have just taken, so that's yours.
15	A. Okay.
16	MR. MARTIN: Found it.
17	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
18	Q. Under position, what does it say the
19	position is?
20	A. Position, data reporting analyst, but the
21	rest is is cut off.
22	Q. On the
23	A. Analyst, senior 4.
24	Q. Where do you see senior 4 under position?
25	A. Under position Data reporting analyst,

	62
1	senior dot 4 right there.
2	Q. I see. In the position
3	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
4	Q what's the position number?
5	A. 00020101.
6	Q. And is that not Ms. Hendrix's position?
7	MS. COUNCIL: Objection to the form of
8	that question.
9	But you can answer.
10	THE WITNESS: I believe it is, but I'm
11	not familiar with her position number.
12	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
13	Q. You really have no idea, other than that it
14	looks like an Oracle document, as to whether it's
15	true, not true, or whatever?
16	A. I have no idea, yes, ma'am, that's correct.
17	Q. And you've never asked anyone about that
18	document, have you?
19	A. No, ma'am.
20	Q. And you've never asked anyone in your office
21	as to whether or not Ms. Hendrix came in asking for a
22	hearing, have you?
23	A. Repeat that again. I'm sorry.
24	Q. You've never asked anyone in your office
25	whether Ms. Hendrix came into your officeyours being

```
63
1
    human resources--and --
2
         A.
               Sure.
3
          Q.
               -- asked for a hearing?
               MS. COUNCIL: Can you phrase it as a
4
5
         question to the witness?
                                     Just for
6
         purposes --
7
               MS. SCHWARTZ:
                               I don't need to.
8
               MS. COUNCIL: -- of clarity?
9
               MS. SCHWARTZ:
                               I don't need to.
               MS. COUNCIL: Well, I think what you're
10
11
          saying is -- you're leading him. What I'm
          saying is can you just phrase it as a
12
13
         question for purposes of the record being
          clear? So he can understand your question.
14
15
    BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
               Have you ever asked --
16
         0.
17
               MS. COUNCIL:
                             Thank you.
18
    BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
19
               -- individuals in your office whether or not
         0.
    Ms. Hendrix came by your office and asked for a
20
    hearing?
21
                       I asked Ms. Harmon.
22
               I did.
         Α.
23
               Besides Ms. Harmon?
         Q.
24
               Besides Ms. Harmon, no.
         A.
25
         Q.
               And there are other people who work in your
```

	64
1	office?
2	A. They are there are, yes.
3	Q. And she could have made that request to
4	anyone?
5	A. All those requests would have come back to
6	me, to our office to our group.
7	Q. Not if they were made to the commissioner,
8	correct?
9	A. No. Even if they were made to the
10	commissioner, they would still come back to us because
11	we handle all the CSB matters.
12	MS. SCHWARTZ: Let's mark this.
13	(Marked for identification purposes,
14	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10.)
15	BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
16	Q. Is this the equivalent form that was used
17	prior to the current mayor being in office?
18	A. It look like an equivalent form, yes, ma'am.
19	Q. So you used employee acceptance of
20	unclassified position forms before, correct?
21	A. Yes, ma'am.
22	Q. And, specifically, this one states that she
23	was serving at the pleasure of the appointing
24	authority and it's dated with her signature, correct?
25	A. Yes, ma'am.

		65
1	Q. And did you discuss with Marcia Brown that	
2	she went from classified to unclassified?	
3	A. Ms. Brown or Ms. Hendrix?	
4	Q. Ms. Brown.	
5	A. Did I discuss with her about her going	
6	Q. That's correct.	
7	A. No, ma'am.	
8	Q. Did she tell you that when she originally	
9	transferred from classified to unclassified she had	
10	retained rights?	
11	MS. COUNCIL: Objection to the form of	
12	the question.	
13	THE WITNESS: Was not aware of that, no,	
14	ma'am.	
15	MS. SCHWARTZ: I think that's all we	
16	have.	
17	MR. MARTIN: I do have two follow-up.	
18	Which I'll be very brief.	
19	FURTHER EXAMINATION	
20	BY MR. MARTIN:	
21	Q. Earlier you just stated that if a letter was	1
22	to go if a person was requesting with the Civil	
23	Service Board to the commissioner	
24	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).	
25	Q you stated that it would come	

	66
1	automatically to you?
2	A. It would.
3	Q. So in regards to the Civil Service Board,
4	could you clarify, is that you're the one that
5	determines whether or not they go before the Civil
6	Service Board?
7	A. Well, we act on behalf of the commissioner
8	of HR.
9	Q. So the commissioner of HR wouldn't
10	necessarily see or receive those letters, it would be
11	just basically it'll go straight to your office?
12	A. Right. And if he received something or she
13	received something, it would then be forwarded to us
14	because we would be we're the the lead people
15	that handle those appeals.
16	Q. And in regards to when did you start
17	working with the city?
18	A. 2010.
19	Q. So in regards to Plaintiff's, I guess, 10?
20	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
21	Q. Is this 10?
22	A. 10, yes, sir.
23	Q. Okay, 10. I didn't write it on there. What
24	was the date that Marcia Brown signed this?
25	A. The 15th. June 15th, 2004.

```
67
                Were you working with the city at the time?
 1
          Q.
 2
          Α.
                I was not.
 3
                So would you have any occasion to talk to
          Q.
    her about this?
 4
 5
          A.
                No, sir.
 6
                MR. MARTIN:
                              No further questions.
 7
                     (Signature reserved.)
                     (Deposition concluded at 4:08 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

68
ERRATA SHEET
I, the undersigned, JAMES MERRIWEATHER, do
hereby certify that I have read the foregoing deposition and that, to the best of my knowledge, said
deposition is true and accurate (with the exception of the following corrections listed below.)
PAGE/LINE CORRECTION (and reason for correction)
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
Notary Public Signature Date
My Commission Expires:

	69
1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF GEORGIA:
3	COUNTY OF FULTON:
4	
5 6 7 8	I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported as stated in the caption and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing by me; that the foregoing 67 pages represent a true and complete transcript of the evidence given on March 5, 2020, by the witness, JAMES MERRIWEATHER, who was first duly sworn by me.
9 L0 L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6 L7	I certify that I am not disqualified for a relationship of interest under O.C.G.A. 9-11-28(c); I am a Georgia Certified Court Reporter here as an independent contractor of Q&A REPORTING SERVICES, INC.; I was contacted by Q&A REPORTING SERVICES, INC., to provide court reporting services for this proceeding; I will not be taking this proceeding under any contract that is prohibited by O.C.G.A. 15-14-37(a) and (b) or Article 7.C of the Rules and Regulations of the Board; and by the attached disclosure form I confirm that Q&A REPORTING SERVICES, INC., is not a party to a contract prohibited by O.C.G.A. 15-14-37 or Article 7.C of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. This, the 17th day of March, 2020.
LO L9	
20	
21	
22	Jo Tomoff Fischer, RMR CCR No. B-924
23	Notary Commission Expires 8-21-2020
24	
25	

70 1 COURT REPORTER DISCLOSURE STATEMENT STATE OF GEORGIA // COUNTY OF FULTON DEPOSITION OF JAMES MERRIWEATHER 2 3 I, JO TOMOFF FISCHER, Certified Court Reporter, 4 do hereby disclose pursuant to Article 10.B of the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting 5 of the Judicial Council of Georgia that I am a Georgia Certified Court Reporter; I was contacted by Q&A 6 REPORTING SERVICES, INC., to provide court reporting services for this proceeding; I will not be taking 7 this deposition under any contract that is prohibited 8 by O.C.G.A. 15-14-37(a) and (b) or Article 7.C of the Rules and Regulations of the Board; and I am not 9 disqualified for a relationship of interest under O.C.G.A. 9-11-28(c).10 There is no contract to provide reporting services between myself or any person whom I have a 11 principal and agency relationship nor any attorney at law in this action, party to this action, party having 12 a financial interest in this action, or agent for an 13 attorney at law in this action, party to this action, or party having a financial interest in this action. Any and all financial arrangements beyond my usual and 14 customary rates have been disclosed and offered to all 15 parties. This, the 17th day of March, 2020. 16 17 18 19 20 Jo Tomoff Fischer, RMR 21 CCR No. B-924 Notary Commission Expires 8-21-2020 22 23 24 25